## Information in Competitive Markets

- In purely competitive markets all agents are fully informed about traded commodities and other aspects of the market.
- What about markets for medical services, or insurance, or used cars?


# Asymmetric Information in Markets 

- A doctor knows more about medical services than does the buyer.
- An insurance buyer knows more about his riskiness than does the seller.
- A used car's owner knows more about it than does a potential buyer.


# Asymmetric Information in Markets 

- Markets with one side orland the other imperfectly informed are markets with imperfect information.
- Imperfectly informed markets with one side better informed than the other are markets with asymmetric information.


# Asymmetric Information in Markets 

- In what ways can asymmetric information affect the functioning of a market?
-Four applications will be considered:
- adverse selection
- signaling
- moral hazard
- incentives contracting.


## Adverse Selection

- Consider a used car market.
- Two types of cars; "lemons" and "peaches".
- Each lemon seller will accept \$1,000; a buyer will pay at most \$1,200.
- Each peach seller will accept \$2,000; a buyer will pay at most $\$ 2,400$.


## Adverse Selection

- If every buyer can tell a peach from a lemon, then lemons sell for between \$1,000 and \$1,200, and peaches sell for between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 2,400$.
- Gains-to-trade are generated when buyers are well informed.


## Adverse Selection

- Suppose no buyer can tell a peach from a lemon before buying.
$\star$ What is the most a buyer will pay for any car?


## Adverse Selection

- Let $q$ be the fraction of peaches.
$\bullet 1-q$ is the fraction of lemons.
- Expected value to a buyer of any car is at most

$$
E V=\$ 1200(1-q)+\$ 2400 q .
$$

## Adverse Selection

- Suppose EV > \$2000.
- Every seller can negotiate a price between \$2000 and \$EV (no matter if the car is a lemon or a peach).
- All sellers gain from being in the market.


## Adverse Selection

- Suppose EV < \$2000.
$\bullet$ A peach seller cannot negotiate a price above $\$ 2000$ and will exit the market.
- So all buyers know that remaining sellers own lemons only.
- Buyers will pay at most \$1200 and only lemons are sold.


## Adverse Selection

- Hence "too many" lemons "crowd out" the peaches from the market.
- Gains-to-trade are reduced since no peaches are traded.
- The presence of the lemons inflicts an external cost on buyers and peach owners.


## Adverse Selection

- How many lemons can be in the market without crowding out the peaches?
- Buyers will pay \$2000 for a car only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E V=\$ 1200(1-q)+\$ 2400 q \geq \$ 2000 \\
& \Rightarrow q \geq \frac{2}{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- So if over one-third of all cars are lemons, then only lemons are traded.


## Adverse Selection

- A market equilibrium in which both types of cars are traded and cannot be distinguished by the buyers is a pooling equilibrium.
- A market equilibrium in which only one of the two types of cars is traded, or both are traded but can be distinguished by the buyers, is a separating equilibrium.


## Adverse Selection

- What if there is more than two types of cars?
- Suppose that
- car quality is Uniformly distributed between $\$ 1000$ and \$2000
- any car that a seller values at $\$ x$ is
valued by a buyer at $\$(x+300)$.
- Which cars will be traded?


## Adverse Selection

The expected value of any car to a buyer is $\$ 1500$ + \$300 = \$1800.

1000
1500
2000
Seller values
So sellers who value their cars at more than $\$ 1800$ exit the market.

## Adverse Selection

The expected value of any remaining car to a buyer is $\$ 1400$ + \$300 = \$1700.

## 1000 <br> 1400 <br> 1800

Seller values
So now sellers who value their cars between $\$ 1700$ and $\$ 1800$ exit the market.

## Adverse Selection

- Where does this unraveling of the market end?
>Let $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{H}}$ be the highest seller value of any car remaining in the market.
- The expected seller value of a car is

$$
\frac{1}{2} \times 1000+\frac{1}{2} \times v_{H} .
$$

## Adverse Selection

-So a buyer will pay at most

$$
\frac{1}{2} \times 1000+\frac{1}{2} \times v_{H}+300 .
$$

- This must be the price which the seller of the highest value car remaining in the market will just accept; i.e.

$$
\frac{1}{2} \times 1000+\frac{1}{2} \times v_{H}+300=v_{H} .
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Adverse Selection } \\
\frac{1}{2} \times 1000+\frac{1}{2} \times \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{H}}+300=\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{H}} \\
\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{H}}=\$ \mathbf{1 6 0 0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Adverse selection drives out all cars valued by sellers at more than $\$ 1600$.

Adverse Selection with Quality Choice

- Now each seller can choose the quality, or value, of her product.
- Two umbrellas; high-quality and lowquality.
- Which will be manufactured and sold?


# Adverse Selection with Quality Choice 

-Buyers value a high-quality umbrella at \$14 and a low-quality umbrella at \$8.

- Before buying, no buyer can tell quality.
- Marginal production cost of a highquality umbrella is $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 .}$
- Marginal production cost of a lowquality umbrella is $\mathbf{\$ 1 0}$.


# Adverse Selection with Quality Choice 

-Suppose every seller makes only highquality umbrellas.

- Every buyer pays \$14 and sellers’ profit per umbrella is \$14-\$11 = \$3.
- But then a seller can make low-quality umbrellas for which buyers still pay \$14, so increasing profit to \$14-\$10 = \$4.


# Adverse Selection with Quality Choice 

- There is no market equilibrium in which only high-quality umbrellas are traded.
\& Is there a market equilibrium in which only low-quality umbrellas are traded?


# Adverse Selection with Quality Choice 

- All sellers make only low-quality umbrellas.
- Buyers pay at most \$8 for an umbrella, while marginal production cost is $\mathbf{\$ 1 0}$.
- There is no market equilibrium in which only low-quality umbrellas are traded.


# Adverse Selection with Quality Choice 

- Now we know there is no market equilibrium in which only one type of umbrella is manufactured.
$\diamond$ Is there an equilibrium in which both types of umbrella are manufactured?


## Adverse Selection with Quality Choice

- A fraction $q$ of sellers make highquality umbrellas; $0<q<1$.
- Buyers' expected value of an umbrella is

$$
E V=14 q+8(1-q)=8+6 q .
$$

- High-quality manufacturers must recover the manufacturing cost,

$$
\mathrm{EV}=8+6 q \geq 11 \Rightarrow q \geq 1 / 2 .
$$

## Adverse Selection with Quality Choice

<So at least half of the sellers must make high-quality umbrellas for there to be a pooling market equilibrium.

- But then a high-quality seller can switch to making low-quality and increase profit by $\$ 1$ on each umbrella sold.


# Adverse Selection with Quality Choice 

-Since all sellers reason this way, the fraction of high-quality sellers will shrink towards zero -- but then buyers will pay only \$8.
-So there is no equilibrium in which both umbrella types are traded.

# Adverse Selection with Quality Choice 

- The market has no equilibrium
- with just one umbrella type traded
- with both umbrella types traded
- so the market has no equilibrium at all.
- Adverse selection has destroyed the entire market!


## Moral Hazard

- If you have full car insurance are you more likely to leave your car unlocked?
- Moral hazard is a reaction to incentives to increase the risk of a loss
> and is a consequence of asymmetric information.


## Moral Hazard

- If an insurer knows the exact risk from insuring an individual, then a contract specific to that person can be written.
- If all people look alike to the insurer, then one contract will be offered to all insurees; high-risk and low-risk types are then pooled, causing lowrisks to subsidize high-risks.


## Moral Hazard

- Examples of efforts to avoid moral hazard by using signals are:
- higher life and medical insurance premiums for smokers or heavy drinkers of alcohol
- lower car insurance premiums for contracts for drivers with histories of safe driving.

